

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Claims 5 and 7 have been canceled.

As to Claim 1 it has been amended to limit it to a single lumen catheter. Therefore, since Andrews et al., U.S. Patent 5,865,721 is a co-lumen catheter, is does not anticipate claim 1 as amended. In addition, since claims 4, 9, 10 and 11 are dependent upon claim 1, neither Andrews nor Andrews in combination with Valley et al., U.S. Patent 5,795,325 anticipate or render claims 1, 4, 9, 10, and 11 obvious.

Applicants respectfully request that a timely Notice of Allowance be issued in this Case.

Respectfully submitted,

J. Gary Mohr

Actorney for Applicants

¢g. No. 27,575

el. No. (201) 307-5514

RECEIVED,
SEP O 2 2003
TECHNOLOGY WENTER RETURN